telephone and return the facsimile to us immediately.

Carstens, Yee & Cahoon, L.L.P. 13760 Noel Road Suite 900 Dallas, Texas 75240

Main No. (972) 367-2001 Facsimile (972) 367-2002

## **Facsimile Cover Sheet**

To: Assistant Commissioner of Patents for Examiner Examiner Benjamin R. Bruckart Group Art Unit 2155	Facsimile No.: (703) 746-6568
From: Amy Miller Legal Assistant to Kevin Goodsell	No. of Pages Including Cover Sheet: 3
Message:	
Examiner Bruckart,	
Attached please find a copy of the Agenda for t you requested per our telephone conversation.	he below referenced application number that
If you have any questions please do not hesitate	e to contact me.
Thanks so much,	
Amy	
Re: Application No 09/692,394 Attorney Docket No: AUS9-2000-0398-US1	
Date: Monday, February 16, 2004	
Please contact us at (972) 367-2001 if you do not receive all pages indicated above or experience any difficulty in receiving this facsimile.	This Facsimile is intended only for the use of the addressee and, if the addressee is a client or their agent, contains privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient of this facsimile, you have received this facsimile inadvertently and in error. Any review, dissentiation, distribution, or copying is strictly prohibited If you received this facsimile in error, please notify us by

ľ,

## Agenda For Telephone Conference With Examiner

- J. Overview of Invention
- 11. Overview of Prior Art of Record
- 111, Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. 102
  - 1. Rogers does not teach the feature of communicating through a firewall using a simulation of a browser as recited in independent claims 1, 9, 18, 26, 30 and 31
    - Rogers teaches presenting data located on different databases using an agents simulate actual browser, not a simulated browser.
    - 1.2 Furthermore, it would not be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Rogers to reach the features of the presently claimed invention. Rogers does not address the same problems as the presently claimed invention. The claimed invention is directed to a mechanism for communicating through a firewall using a simulation of a browser. Rogers is not concerned with communication through a firewall. To the contrary, Rogers is directed to a system that allows web users to request information that is created by a data interpretation system and then presented by a web server to the user of the web.

col9 24-78

2. Storn does not teach instructions to simulate a browser in a data processing system in which the browser being simulated is able to communicate through a firewall and communicate with a host directly instead of using the browser as recited in independent claim 13. system in which the browser being simulated is able to communicate through

Page 1 of 2

- 2.1 Stern teaches a system for providing a network interface device which allows a secure representation of network services to a host computer. There is nothing in Stern that has anything to do with simulating a browser to communicate through a firewall
- 2.2 Furthermore, it would not be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Stern to reach the features of the presently claimed invention. Stern is directed to an entirely different problem than the present invention. Stern is concerned with implementing network security with a network interface device. Conversely, the presently claimed invention is concerned with simulating a browser to communicate through a firewall.